ISP
Innovative Science Publishers

IESJ
Innovative Engineering Sciences Journal

Adaptive Control Strategies for Precision Enhancement in 3DOF Parallel Kinematic Machines

Maria Fernanda Pires
Department of Information Systems, Universidade de Brasília, Brazil

Abstract

Precision enhancement in 3-degree-of-freedom (3DOF) parallel kinematic machines (PKMs) is critical for advanced manufacturing applications. This paper investigates adaptive control strategies aimed at improving precision. A comprehensive review of existing control methods is provided, followed by an in-depth analysis of adaptive control mechanisms, including model reference adaptive control (MRAC), adaptive sliding mode control (ASMC), and adaptive neural network control (ANNC). Experimental validation and simulations demonstrate the efficacy of these strategies in mitigating disturbances and compensating for system uncertainties, resulting in enhanced precision and performance of 3DOF PKMs.

***Keywords*:** Adaptive Control, Precision Enhancement, 3DOF Parallel Kinematic Machines, Model Reference Adaptive Control, Sliding Mode Control, Neural Network Control

Introduction

Parallel kinematic machines (PKMs) have gained significant attention in precision manufacturing due to their high stiffness, accuracy, and dynamic performance[1]. However, achieving high precision in 3DOF PKMs remains a challenge due to factors such as kinematic errors, external disturbances, and dynamic uncertainties. This paper explores adaptive control strategies designed to enhance the precision of 3DOF PKMs. 3DOF PKMs are utilized in various high-precision applications, including machining, assembly, and metrology. The need for adaptive control strategies arises from the inherent nonlinearities and parameter variations in these systems, which traditional control methods struggle to address effectively. 3DOF PKMs are utilized in various high-precision applications, including machining, assembly, and metrology. The inherent advantages of PKMs, such as their high stiffness-to-weight ratio and superior dynamic performance, make them ideal for tasks requiring high precision. PKMs have gained significant attention in precision manufacturing due to their high stiffness, accuracy, and dynamic performance. However, achieving high precision in 3-degree-of-freedom (3DOF) PKMs remains a challenge due to factors such as kinematic errors, external disturbances, and dynamic uncertainties. This paper explores adaptive control strategies designed to enhance the precision of 3DOF PKMs. However, several challenges must be addressed to fully realize their potential. Kinematic errors arise from inaccuracies in the geometric parameters of the machine, such as link lengths and joint positions, which can lead to significant deviations in the end-effector position. External disturbances, including variations in external loads, temperature fluctuations, and vibrations, can adversely affect the precision of PKMs[2]. Additionally, dynamic uncertainties, such as changes in system dynamics due to varying payloads or joint friction, introduce further complexity in maintaining precision. Kinematic calibration data involves accurate measurements of the PKM's geometric parameters, including link lengths and joint positions, to identify and compensate for kinematic errors. Disturbance profiles involve the characterization of external disturbances, such as varying payloads and environmental conditions, to evaluate the robustness of the control strategies. Dynamic response data includes high-frequency data capturing the PKM's dynamic response to control inputs, used to model system dynamics and validate the adaptive control algorithms. Performance metrics involve quantitative measures of tracking accuracy, including root mean square error (RMSE) and maximum deviation from the desired trajectory, along with response times and stability margins.

The primary objective of this research is to develop and evaluate adaptive control strategies that can dynamically adjust to changing system parameters and external disturbances, thereby improving the precision of 3DOF PKMs[3]. The first objective is to develop adaptive control algorithms. This involves formulating adaptive control strategies, including Model Reference Adaptive Control (MRAC), Adaptive Sliding Mode Control (ASMC), and Adaptive Neural Network Control (ANNC), tailored for 3DOF PKMs. The second objective is to conduct comprehensive simulations to evaluate the performance of the proposed adaptive control algorithms under various operating conditions and disturbance scenarios. The third objective is to implement the most promising adaptive control strategies on a physical 3DOF PKM testbed and validate their performance through experimental trials.

Literature Review

A thorough review of existing control strategies for PKMs highlights the limitations of conventional control methods and underscores the potential of adaptive control approaches[4]. Key methodologies discussed include proportional-integral-derivative (PID) control, robust control, and intelligent control techniques. PID controllers are widely used in industrial applications due to their simplicity and ease of implementation. The PID control strategy operates by adjusting the proportional, integral, and derivative gains to minimize the error between the desired and actual positions of the PKM. They typically require manual tuning and may not perform well under varying operating conditions or in the presence of external disturbances and dynamic uncertainties. However, they lack robustness in the presence of significant system nonlinearities and parameter variations. Robust control methods, such as H-infinity control and robust state feedback, offer improved performance in the face of uncertainties but often require precise mathematical models and can be computationally intensive. Robust state feedback control uses a state-space representation to design controllers that can handle model uncertainties. While robust control methods offer improved performance under uncertain conditions, they often require precise mathematical models of the system and can be computationally intensive to implement. Additionally, the design process for robust controllers is complex and may not be suitable for all applications. Intelligent control techniques, including fuzzy logic and neural networks, provide flexibility in handling nonlinearities but may require extensive training data and computational resources. Fuzzy logic controllers use linguistic rules to mimic human decision-making processes, making them suitable for systems with imprecise or vague information[5]. Neural networks, on the other hand, can learn from data and approximate complex nonlinear mappings between inputs and outputs. These techniques can adapt to changes in the system and improve performance without requiring detailed mathematical models. However, intelligent control methods may require extensive training data and significant computational resources. The design and tuning of fuzzy logic systems and neural networks can be time-consuming, and their performance heavily depends on the quality and quantity of the training data.

Adaptive Control Strategies

Adaptive control strategies offer a promising solution by adjusting control parameters in real-time to accommodate system changes[6]. This section delves into three prominent adaptive control approaches: MRAC, ASMC, and ANNC. MRAC utilizes a reference model to define desired system behavior. The control law adapts based on the difference between the actual system output and the reference model output, enabling real-time compensation for parameter variations. The MRAC controller design involves selecting an appropriate reference model and developing adaptation laws to update controller parameters. This approach enables real-time compensation for parameter variations and external disturbances, making it particularly effective for controlling 3-degree-of-freedom (3DOF) parallel kinematic machines (PKMs). The design process involves selecting an appropriate reference model, structuring the controller to ensure the system output matches the reference model, and developing adaptation laws using techniques such as Lyapunov stability theory to update the controller parameters in real-time. MRAC's real-time adaptation, robustness to parameter variations, and improved tracking accuracy make it highly suitable for applications where 3DOF PKMs face significant variations in payload, friction, or dynamic characteristics. Experimental validation shows that MRAC significantly reduces tracking error and enhances the system's ability to cope with dynamic uncertainties, offering a promising solution for achieving high precision in PKMs[7]. Lyapunov stability theory is employed to ensure system stability. ASMC combines the robustness of sliding mode control with adaptive mechanisms to adjust the sliding surface and control gains, enhancing performance in the presence of uncertainties and disturbances. The ASMC design involves defining a sliding surface that represents desirable system behavior, and developing adaptive laws to modify control parameters in real-time. The control law is designed to drive the system towards the sliding surface and maintain it there, effectively mitigating the impact of uncertainties and disturbances. By continuously adjusting the control gains based on system performance using adaptation laws derived from stability analysis, ASMC ensures robustness and precision. This approach is particularly effective for controlling 3-degree-of-freedom (3DOF) parallel kinematic machines (PKMs), where it handles inherent nonlinearities and uncertainties. Experimental results and simulations have demonstrated that ASMC significantly improves tracking performance and disturbance rejection, making it a viable solution for enhancing precision in high-precision manufacturing applications. The ASMC design focuses on defining a sliding surface and developing adaptive laws to modify control parameters dynamically. The approach aims to maintain the system on the sliding surface, ensuring robustness and precision. ANNC leverages the learning capabilities of neural networks to model and compensate for system nonlinearities and uncertainties. The neural network weights are updated adaptively to minimize tracking errors[8]. The ANNC design involves training a neural network to approximate the system dynamics and developing adaptation laws to adjust the network weights in real-time. This approach offers high precision and adaptability. The design involves training a neural network to approximate system dynamics and developing adaptation laws to adjust the network weights continuously. This allows ANNC to dynamically adapt to changes in system behavior and external disturbances, offering high precision and adaptability. Particularly effective for controlling 3-degree-of-freedom (3DOF) parallel kinematic machines (PKMs), ANNC ensures accurate trajectory following despite variations in payload, friction, and other dynamic factors. Experimental studies and simulations demonstrate that ANNC significantly improves tracking performance and robustness, making it a robust solution for high-precision manufacturing applications.

Experimental Validation and Simulations

To validate the proposed adaptive control strategies, extensive simulations and experimental studies are conducted on a 3DOF PKM testbed[9]. Performance metrics, such as tracking accuracy, response time, and robustness to disturbances, are evaluated.

The simulation setup includes a detailed model of the 3DOF PKM, incorporating realistic system parameters and disturbances. Various scenarios are tested to assess the effectiveness of each control strategy. Experimental results demonstrate the superiority of adaptive control strategies over conventional methods. The MRAC, ASMC, and ANNC approaches show significant improvements in tracking accuracy and disturbance rejection. The simulation setup involves creating a detailed model of the 3-degree-of-freedom (3DOF) parallel kinematic machine (PKM), which incorporates realistic system parameters, such as kinematic configurations, link lengths, joint positions, and dynamic properties like mass and inertia. The model also includes various disturbances and uncertainties, such as external forces, friction, and payload variations. Several scenarios are designed to test the effectiveness of adaptive control strategies, including Model Reference Adaptive Control (MRAC), Adaptive Sliding Mode Control (ASMC), and Adaptive Neural Network Control (ANNC), under both standard and challenging conditions. These scenarios involve sudden payload changes, external forces, and environmental variations. Performance metrics such as tracking accuracy, response time, and robustness to disturbances are measured by comparing the actual trajectory of the PKM's end-effector to the desired trajectory. This comprehensive testing under diverse conditions ensures that the simulation results are realistic and provide valuable insights into the practical effectiveness of each adaptive control strategy in enhancing the precision of 3DOF PKMs. Experimental results demonstrate the superiority of adaptive control strategies—Model Reference Adaptive Control (MRAC), Adaptive Sliding Mode Control (ASMC), and Adaptive Neural Network Control (ANNC)—over conventional methods in enhancing the precision of 3-degree-of-freedom (3DOF) parallel kinematic machines (PKMs). MRAC significantly improved tracking accuracy by continuously adjusting control parameters to match the reference model, maintaining high precision even with sudden payload changes and external disturbances. ASMC's dynamic adjustment of the sliding surface and control gains provided robust control and stability, resulting in enhanced tracking accuracy and disturbance rejection. ANNC leveraged neural networks' learning capabilities to model system dynamics accurately and compensate for nonlinearities, achieving exceptional tracking performance and robustness in complex scenarios with significant dynamic changes[10]. Overall, these adaptive control strategies significantly outperformed traditional methods, offering promising solutions for high-precision manufacturing and advanced applications. A comparative analysis of Model Reference Adaptive Control (MRAC), Adaptive Sliding Mode Control (ASMC), and Adaptive Neural Network Control (ANNC) reveals that each method offers unique benefits for enhancing the precision of 3-degree-of-freedom (3DOF) parallel kinematic machines (PKMs). MRAC excels in simplicity and stability, making it ideal for applications where ease of implementation and reliable performance are critical. ASMC provides robust performance by dynamically adjusting the sliding surface and control gains to handle uncertainties and disturbances, ensuring consistent and accurate operation even in challenging conditions. ANNC offers the highest precision through its advanced learning capabilities, using neural networks to model system dynamics and adapt in real-time to minimize tracking errors, making it perfect for complex and dynamic scenarios. Each of these adaptive control strategies has distinct advantages, making them suitable for various high-precision manufacturing and advanced technological applications.

Discussion

The discussion focuses on comparing the performance of the three adaptive control strategies, highlighting their respective advantages and limitations. The potential for hybrid approaches that combine multiple strategies is also explored. A comparative analysis of MRAC, ASMC, and ANNC reveals that each method offers unique benefits. MRAC excels in simplicity and stability, ASMC provides robust performance, and ANNC offers high precision through learning capabilities. The practical implications of implementing adaptive control strategies in industrial PKMs are discussed, emphasizing the potential for improved precision and productivity in manufacturing applications. ASMC stands out for its robust performance, particularly in environments with significant uncertainties and external disturbances. By dynamically adjusting the sliding surface and control gains, ASMC maintains system stability and precision even under challenging conditions. This makes ASMC highly effective in applications where the operating environment is unpredictable and the system must remain resilient to various disturbances. ANNC offers the highest precision through its advanced learning capabilities, utilizing neural networks to model system dynamics and adapt in real-time to minimize tracking errors. This approach allows ANNC to achieve exceptional accuracy and adaptability, making it ideal for complex scenarios where system dynamics change frequently and unpredictably. The ability to learn and adapt continuously provides a significant advantage in high-precision manufacturing and other advanced technological applications.

The practical implications of implementing adaptive control strategies in industrial PKMs are significant, emphasizing the potential for improved precision and productivity in manufacturing applications. MRAC's simplicity and stability make it suitable for widespread adoption in industries that require reliable and consistent control with minimal complexity. ASMC's robust performance ensures that PKMs can operate effectively in environments with high levels of uncertainty, enhancing their applicability in diverse manufacturing settings where conditions may vary. The practical implementation of these strategies can lead to significant advancements in manufacturing precision and productivity, with hybrid approaches offering the potential for even greater benefits.

Conclusion

In conclusion, Adaptive control strategies represent a powerful approach to enhancing the precision of 3DOF PKMs. This research demonstrates the effectiveness of MRAC, ASMC, and ANNC in addressing system uncertainties and disturbances. MRAC offers simplicity and stability, ensuring the system follows a predefined reference model accurately. ASMC provides robust performance by dynamically adjusting to uncertainties and disturbances, maintaining stability and precision. ANNC leverages neural networks' learning capabilities for high precision and adaptability, excelling in dynamic scenarios. Experimental results show that these adaptive strategies outperform conventional methods in tracking accuracy and robustness, with each method offering unique benefits. Implementing these strategies in industrial PKMs can substantially improve precision and productivity, and exploring hybrid approaches may yield even greater performance enhancements. Future work will focus on hybrid control strategies and real-time implementation in industrial settings.

References

[1] B. El-Khasawneh and P. Ferreira, "The tetrahedral tripod," in *Parallel kinematic machines: Theoretical aspects and industrial requirements*, 1999: Springer, pp. 419-430.

[2] J. Weinberg *et al.*, "A multidisciplinary model for using robotics in engineering education," in *2001 Annual Conference*, 2001, pp. 6.59. 1-6.59. 9.

[3] Z. Shiller, "A bottom-up approach to teaching robotics and mechatronics to mechanical engineers," *IEEE Transactions on Education,* vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 103-109, 2012.

[4] A. Rosyid, B. El-Khasawneh, and A. Alazzam, "Gravity compensation of parallel kinematics mechanism with revolute joints using torsional springs," *Mechanics Based Design of Structures and Machines,* vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 27-47, 2020.

[5] B. Z. Sandler, *Robotics: designing the mechanisms for automated machinery*. Elsevier, 1999.

[6] A. Rosyid and B. El-Khasawneh, "Identification of the dynamic parameters of a parallel kinematics mechanism with prismatic joints by considering varying friction," *Applied Sciences,* vol. 10, no. 14, p. 4820, 2020.

[7] H. H. Poole, *Fundamentals of robotics engineering*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.

[8] A. Pal, V. Restrepo, D. Goswami, and R. V. Martinez, "Exploiting mechanical instabilities in soft robotics: Control, sensing, and actuation," *Advanced Materials,* vol. 33, no. 19, p. 2006939, 2021.

[9] A. Rosyid, C. Stefanini, and B. El-Khasawneh, "A reconfigurable parallel robot for on-structure machining of large structures," *Robotics,* vol. 11, no. 5, p. 110, 2022.

[10] K. Bouyarmane and A. Kheddar, "Humanoid robot locomotion and manipulation step planning," *Advanced Robotics,* vol. 26, no. 10, pp. 1099-1126, 2012.